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Summary

Acral melanoma is a rare melanoma subtype with distinct epidemiological, clinical and genetic features. To determine if acral melanoma cell lines are representative of this melanoma subtype, six lines were analysed by whole-exome sequencing and array comparative genomic hybridisation. We demonstrate that the cell lines display a mutation rate that is comparable to that of published primary and metastatic acral melanomas and observe a mutational signature suggestive of UV-induced mutagenesis in two of the cell lines. Mutations were identified in oncogenes and tumour suppressors previously linked to melanoma including BRAF, NRAS, KIT, PTEN and TP53, in cancer genes not previously linked to melanoma and in genes linked to DNA repair such as BRCA1 and BRCA2. Our findings provide strong circumstantial evidence to suggest that acral melanoma cell lines and acral tumours share genetic features in common and that these cells are therefore valuable tools to investigate the biology of this aggressive melanoma subtype. Data are available at: http://rock.icr.ac.uk/collaborations/Furney_et_al_2012/.

Primary cutaneous melanoma most commonly occurs on sun-exposed hair-bearing skin, but in rare cases, it also occurs on the non-hair-bearing (i.e. acral) skin of the palms, soles and nail beds. Notably, although the BRAF gene is mutated in approximately 50% of melanomas from hair-bearing skin, BRAF is only mutated in approximately 16% of acral melanomas, and whereas KIT is not mutated in melanoma from hair-bearing skin, it is mutated in approximately 20% of acral melanomas (Curtin et al., 2006). Furthermore, focal gene amplifications involving the regions that encode GAB2 (Chernoff et al., 2009), CCND1 (Sauter et al., 2002) and CDK4 (Curtin et al., 2005) in particular appear to be more prevalent in acral melanoma than in hair-bearing skin melanoma. These studies have demonstrated that cutaneous melanomas from hair-bearing and acral skin are genetically distinct diseases, and accordingly, at least in some studies, it has been shown that acral melanoma is inherently more aggressive and has a poorer prognosis than melanoma from hair-bearing skin (Bradford et al., 2009). Notably, melanoma from hair-bearing skin is almost entirely restricted to Caucasians and is associated with

Significance

The treatment of rare melanoma subtypes remains challenging as the majority of driver genetic alterations remain unknown. This study represents a genomic analysis of six of the seven available acral melanoma cell lines. We present the coding sequence mutations and copy number alterations detected in these lines and an analysis of the mutational burden and mutational signatures. The data provide a reference for studies on acral melanoma in particular, but will be of value to the entire melanoma research community.
intermittent or chronic exposure to ultraviolet (UV) light, whereas acral melanoma occurs with equal frequency in all racial groups and does not appear to be causally linked to UV light exposure. These differences have been ascribed to the fact that acral skin is UV-protected by a thickened stratum corneum or the nail matrix and is further protected by habitual under-exposure to UV light. We have recently reported a somatic mutation rate in acral melanomas that was substantially lower than that seen in hairy-skin melanomas and more similar to that seen in cancers that are not associated with known mutagens (Pleasance et al., 2010a; Wei et al., 2011; Turajic et al., 2012). Surprisingly, despite this, we observed a mutation pattern that was consistent with UV-induced DNA damage (Turajic et al., 2012). This suggests that the protection of acral skin from UV light is not absolute and that although UV light exposure to acral skin is qualitatively and quantitatively different from that of hairy skin, UV light may play a role in acral melanomagenesis.

Common cutaneous melanomas tend to lend themselves to establishment as immortalised cell lines, whereas acral melanoma cell lines are more difficult to establish. To date, only seven immortalised acral melanoma lines have been described (Satyamoorthy et al., 1997; Murata et al., 2007; Ashida et al., 2009). The SM3, SMYM-PRGP, MMG1 and WM3211 lines were derived from primary lesions, whereas the SM2-1 and Mel-2 lines were derived from in-transit metastases, and the Mel18 line was derived from a lymph node metastasis (Table S1). SM3, SMYM-PRGP, MMG1, SM2-1, Mel-2 and Mel18 cells were from Japanese patients, but the racial origin of WM3211 cells is unknown. Given the importance of these lines as models of a distinct and aggressive melanoma subtype and the uncertainty of the role of UV in acral melanomagenesis, we sought to define whether these cell lines are representative of the molecular features of primary and metastatic acral melanomas. We therefore subjected the SMYM-PRGP, MMG1, SM2-1, Mel-2, Mel18 and WM3211 cells to microarray-based comparative genomic hybridisation (aCGH) and next generation sequencing to characterise their genomic landscape and mutational profiles. Unfortunately, SM3 cells could not be included in this analysis owing to their poor growth properties.

Short tandem repeat (STR) typing authenticated the identity of WM3211 cells and demonstrated that SMYM-PRGP, MMG1, SM2-1, Mel-2 and Mel18 cells had unique identities (Table S2). All lines were confirmed as mycoplasma-free. We used array CGH profiling of the cell lines to characterise somatic copy number alterations (Figures S1–S6; Krywinski et al., 2009). Previously, it has been shown that acral melanomas display a higher degree of overall genomic aberrations and of amplicons than melanomas from sun-exposed hair-bearing skin (Curtin et al., 2005). In the line with these observations, we identified an average of 9.2 amplifications and 4.7 deletions in each cell line using previously described thresholds (Natraj et al., 2010). Several regions typically amplified in acral melanoma, including 5p15 and 12q14, were identified (Figures S1–S6). The focal amplifications of CCND1 (11q13) and hTERT (5p15) previously described (Murata et al., 2007) in SMYM-PRGP cells were confirmed by aCGH (Figures S1–S6).

We also performed exome capture and sequencing of genomic DNA derived from these cell lines and obtained approximately 7.8 Gb of high-quality mapped sequence per cell line with mean on-target coverage of 79± (Table S3). The paired-end reads were aligned to the NCBI build 37 reference genome, which revealed approximately 15–18 000 single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and approximately 800–1300 small insertions or deletions (indels) in each line (Figures S1–S6, Table 1). Unfortunately, we did not possess reference germline DNA for these cell lines, so to identify candidate driver events, we first removed known human polymorphisms present in the dbSNP 135 database. This revealed 287–1078 putative novel SNVs and 83–130 putative novel indels in each line (Figure S1–S6; Table 1; Li and Durbin, 2009; McKenna et al., 2010; Koboldt et al., 2009; McLaughlin et al., 2010). To benchmark this approach, we re-analysed the exomes from the acral tumours we previously described but without their reference germline DNA (Turajic et al., 2012). Pleasingly, this revealed very similar numbers of mutations, with 278 novel SNVs and 148 indels in the primary tumour and 259 novel SNVs and 80 indels in the metastasis (Table 1).

It is intriguing that WM3211 cells carry two to three as many SNVs as the Japanese lines (Table 1). WM3211 cells were derived from a tumour arising on the ankle (http://www.wistar.org/lab/meenhard-herlyn-dvm-dsc), a near-acral site. However, as WM3211 cells carry a mutation in KIT and KIT mutations are rare in hairy-skin melanomas (Beadling et al., 2008), they were likely derived from a bona fide acral melanoma. Other explanations for the higher mutation burden in WM3211 cells could be environmental (geographic) or ethnic differences, or related to the fact that these cells have TP53 and BRCA2 mutations that could have affected DNA repair (Table S4).

We checked for the presence of all SNVs, including those in dbSNP, in the COSMIC database (http://www.sanger.ac.uk/genetics/CGP/cosmic/) and validated likely oncogenic mutations by Sanger sequencing (Table 1). We prioritised the non-dbSNP variants according to their likelihood of being deleterious using in-silico approaches (Gonzalez-Perez and Lopez-Bigas, 2011). Cross-referencing these deleterious SNVs and indels with the Cancer Gene Census (http://www.sanger.ac.uk/genetics/CGP/Census/) identified 24 variants at novel residues in the genes previously reported as mutated in cancer (Table S4).

The L576P KIT mutation previously described in WM3211 cells (Woodman et al., 2009) was confirmed
Table 1. Summary of mutations in acral melanoma. The data show a summary of single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and small insertions and deletions (indels) detected in the six acral melanoma cell lines and the primary acral melanoma and metastasis. For each of the cell lines or tumours, the data show the total number of variants (Total SNVs), the number of novel variants not present in the dbSNP 135 database (Novel SNVs), the number of those novel variants that are predicted to be deleterious (Del. Novel SNVs), the total number of indels (Total indels), the number of novel indels (Novel indels) and the number of novel indels predicted to be deleterious (Del. Novel indels).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>Total SNVs</th>
<th>Novel SNVs</th>
<th>Del. novel SNVs</th>
<th>Validated SNVs present in COSMIC</th>
<th>Total indels</th>
<th>Novel indels</th>
<th>Del. novel indels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mel18</td>
<td>16662</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>BRAF V600E PTEN T167A APC S130G</td>
<td>1029</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mel-2</td>
<td>18280</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>NRAS G12D G57V398F</td>
<td>1291</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMG1</td>
<td>14945</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>BRAF V600E</td>
<td>903</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM2-1</td>
<td>16756</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>BRAF V600E PTEN C136Y</td>
<td>1055</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMYM-PRGP</td>
<td>17074</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>GRK7 V1969i</td>
<td>1157</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WM3211</td>
<td>16187</td>
<td>1078</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>TP53 C242G KIT L576P C8L F418L</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary acral melanoma</td>
<td>19975</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1435</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acral melanoma nodal metastasis</td>
<td>18069</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1031</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

by exome sequencing (Figure S7). We also confirmed that MMG1, SM2-1 and Mel18 cells all harboured BRAF V600E mutations and that Mel-2 cells carried an NRAS G12V mutation (Figure S8). BRAF mutations occur in <20% of acral melanomas (Curtin et al., 2005), so a mutation rate of 50% (3/6) in the lines is an over-representation. The enrichment of BRAF mutations in the cell lines presumably occurs because cells expressing oncogenic BRAF are easier to establish in culture than cells expressing other oncogenes, or because these mutations are acquired during in vitro culture. Of note, BRAF <V600E> mutations also appear to be over-represented in uveal melanoma cell lines (Griewank et al., 2012).

Although the mutant forms of KIT, BRAF and NRAS are likely to be driver events in some of the cancers from which these lines were derived, we also identified additional potential driving and cooperating lesions in these cells (Table S5). We report homozygous mutations in known melanoma tumour suppressors (Sparrow et al., 1995; Aguissa-Toure and Li, 2011), including T167A and C136Y in PTEN in Mel18 and SM2-1 cells, respectively; C242G in TP53 in WM3211 cells and L650* in NF1 in SMYM-PRGP cells (Figure S9A–D). We also report a P793L mutation in MAP3K9 in WM3211 cells (Figure S9E) and note that this residue was recently reported as mutated in a study that described MAP3K9 as being mutated in 15% of melanoma cell lines (Stark et al., 2011). The S130G mutation observed in APC in Mel18 cells (Figure S9F) was previously reported in SKMEL-28 melanoma cells (http://www.sanger.ac.uk/genetics/CGP/cosmic/).

In addition to the mutations described above, we observed novel mutations in genes that may be related to microsatellite instability and DNA repair. We describe a Q723* mutation in ARID1A (Figure S3G), a gene that encodes a member of the SWI-SNF chromatin-remodelling family and mutations, which have been reported in association with microsatellite instability (Jones et al., 2012). Similarly, microsatellite instability is associated with mutations in ERCC5, and we previously reported a truncating mutation in this gene in acral melanoma (Turajlic et al., 2012). We also observed novel heterozygous BRCA1 and homozygous BRCA2 variants in SMYM-PRGP and WM3211 cells, respectively (Table S4), and although of unknown significance (http://brca.iarc.fr/LOVD/home.php) they are predicted to be deleterious. These findings suggest that defects in DNA repair may play a role in acral melanomagenesis, at least in some cases.

The previously published lung cancer and melanoma cell line genomes (Pleasance et al., 2010a,b) exhibited characteristic mutational signatures associated with UV and tobacco. To investigate the mutational processes in acral melanoma, we first assessed the pattern of all novel single-base substitutions in the exomes of the cell lines (Figure 1). We observed that the predominant mutations in each cell line were C>T (G>A) transitions (68 and 79%, respectively; Figure 1; Table S10). Hence, we examined the sequence context in each of the cell lines (Figure S10; Table S10) and detected an enrichment for C>T (G>A) at the 3’ base of TpC or CpC dinucleotides (Pleasance et al., 2010a). These mutations are characteristic of DNA damage induced by UVB light (Pfeifer and Besaratinia, 2012). Two of the cell lines we examined, Mel18 and WM3211, exhibit higher proportions of C to T transitions (68 and 79%, respectively; Figure 1; Table S10). Hence, we examined the sequence context in each of the cell lines (Figure S10; Table S10) and detected an enrichment for C>T (G>A) at the 3’ base of TpC or CpC dinucleotides in Mel18 (82%) and WM3211 (90%) cells, but not in the other cells, which had lower rates of C>T (G>A) transitions. It is possible that these mutations were the result of
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fluorescent light-induced DNA adducts acquired during in vitro culture (Ritter and Williams, 1981). However, this seems unlikely as the pattern was not seen in all cell lines, and these results therefore suggest a role for UV light in the induction of the tumours from which the Me18 and WM3211 cells were derived. We note that the differences in the degree of UV mutational signature correlated with the variation in mutation rate. There was no correlation between the mutational status of the major melanoma oncogenes and the mutational signature.

In conclusion, we present the first comprehensive profiling of the currently available acral melanoma cell lines. We show that the copy number alterations, mutational burden and mutational signature are similar to those of published acral melanoma tumour samples and we have catalogued all the coding mutations in these cells, providing a list of variants that may constitute disease drivers. We provide lists of all SNVs, indels and regions of copy number alteration discovered in the cell lines as supplementary data available at http://rock.icr.ac.uk/collaborations/Furney_et_al_2012/ as a resource for the melanoma research community.
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